

**United States Environmental Protection Agency
Criminal Investigation Division
Investigative Activity Report**

Case Number

0506-0026

Case Title:

Ferguson Enterprises Inc.

Reporting Office:

Detroit, MI, Resident Office

Subject of Report:

Interview of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), DWSD.

Activity Date:

November 29, 2010

Reporting Official and Date:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), RAC

23-DEC-2010, Signed by: (b)(6), (b)(7), RAC

Approving Official and Date:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), SAC

28-DEC-2010, Approved by: (b)(6), (b)(7), ASAC

SYNOPSIS

11/29/2010 - U.S. EPA CID Special Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) contacted (b)(6), (b)(7), Deputy Director, Detroit Water & Sewerage Department (DWSD) regarding contract CM 2012. (b)(6), has been interviewed by SA (b)(6), (b)(7) and FBI SA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) on several occasions in this investigation.

DETAILS

On November 29, 2010, U.S. EPA CID Special Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) contacted (b)(6), (b)(7), Deputy Director, Detroit Water & Sewerage Department (DWSD) regarding contract CM 2012. (b)(6), has been interviewed by SA (b)(6), (b)(7) and FBI SA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) on several occasions in this investigation. (b)(6), was contacted on his cell phone at: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) provided the following information:

(b)(6), ((explained that ((didn't know a lot about CM 2012. The contract was based on the pilot water main replacement contract. (b)(6), was told to put together a contract with a very short turnaround time. (b)(6), stated that this directive had to have come from then DWSD Director (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). (b)(6), added that it seemed the DWSD rushed into this contract with the justification that there was a small window of opportunity to get the work down which needed to occur before the Super Bowl. The reason for the contract was to give DLZ the authority to oversee the downtown water main replacement work.

(b)(6), recalled that the contract was let without soliciting bids and was authorized under a Special Administrators Order. (b)(6), added that (b) doesn't even know how they (DLZ) even got hired by the DWSD for this contract.

The manner in which CM 2012 was issued was one of the reasons why, in an earlier interview, said (b) felt like the fix was in from the beginning on contracts CM 2014 and CM 2015. At the time that the CM 2014/2015 contracts were let that DLZ was going to get one of them. (b)(6), was also concerned that the DWSD was giving away the city engineers jobs by entering into these contracts.